1 2 3 4 5 6	NICHOLAS M. WIECZOREK Nevada Bar No. 6170 CLARK HILL PLLC 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 862-8300 Facsimile: (702) 862-8400 nwieczorek@clarkhill.com Attorneys for Defendant TELEFLEX, Inc.	
7 8 9 10 11 12	GERALD P. SCHNEEWEIS, CA State Bar No. 101 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) CLARK HILL LLP One American Plaza, 600 West Broadway, Suite 500 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 557-0404 Facsimile: (619) 557-0460 gschneeweis@clarkhill.com Attorneys for Defendant TELEFLEX INCORPORATED	
13	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
14	DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
15 16	JUNE POTTER, as Special Administrator for the Estate of JORDAN J. Potter, deceased,	Case No.: 2:20-cv-00276-RFB-VCF
		Case No.: 2:20-cv-00276-RFB-VCF STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT
16 17 18	Estate of JORDAN J. Potter, deceased,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19	Estate of JORDAN J. Potter, deceased, Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT
16 17 18 19 20	Estate of JORDAN J. Potter, deceased, Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and Dexter Hysol; INDUSTRIAL MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor in	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and Dexter Hysol; INDUSTRIAL MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor in interest to Arrowhead Products and Arrowhead	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and Dexter Hysol; INDUSTRIAL MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor in interest to Arrowhead Products and Arrowhead Rubber Company; NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION; PARKER-HANNIFIN	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and Dexter Hysol; INDUSTRIAL MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor in interest to Arrowhead Products and Arrowhead Rubber Company; NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION; PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION, individually and as successor-in-interest to Cleveland Wheel & Brake and	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. ARROWHEAD PRODUCTS, individually and as successor in interest to Arrowhead Rubber Company; CRANE CO.; GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; HENKEL CORPORATION as successor-in-interest to Loctite Corporation and Dexter Hysol; INDUSTRIAL MANUFACTURING COMPANY, as successor in interest to Arrowhead Products and Arrowhead Rubber Company; NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION; PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION, individually and as successor-	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, WITH

Holdings Corp. f/k/a American Cyanamid 1 Corporation; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through 50, inclusive, 2 3 Defendants. 4 5 6 TO THE COURT AND ALL INTERESTED PARTIES OF RECORD: 7 It is hereby stipulated by Plaintiff JUNE POTTER, as Special Administrator for the Estate of 8 JORDAN J. POTTER and Defendant TELEFLEX INCORPORATED, that Defendant TELEFLEX 9 INCORPORATED is dismissed, with prejudice, from Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Each shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs. 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED on this 28th day of February, 2023. 11 12 MAUNE RAICHLE HARTLEY FRENCH & **CLARK HILL LLP MUDD LLC** 13 By: /s/ Gerald P. Schneeweis 14 By: /s/ Brian Ladenburg____ Brian Ladenburg, Esq. Gerald P. Schneeweis, 15 Maune Raichle Hartley French & Mudd LLC CA State Bar No. 101331 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1400 (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) 16 Seattle, Washington 98101 CLARK HILL LLP Phone (253)229-9629 One American Plaza, 600 17 bladenburg@mrhfmlaw.com West Broadway, Suite 500 Attorneys for Plaintiff San Diego, California 92101 18 Telephone: (619) 557-0404 19 gschneeweis@clarkhill.com Attorneys for Defendant, 20 Teleflex, Inc. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Defendant TELEFLEX

INCORPORATED is hereby dismissed, with prejudice, from Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.

Each shall bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

Hon. Raymond F. Boulware, II

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2023.